arXiv:math/0608661v2 [math.AC] 6 Sep 2006

GORENSTEIN RINGS AND IRREDUCIBLE PARAMETER IDEALS

THOMAS MARLEY, MARK W. ROGERS, AND HIDETO SAKURAI

Abstract. Given a Noetherian local ring (R, m) it is shown that there exists an integer ℓ such that R is Gorenstein if and only if some system of parameters contained in m^ℓ generates an irreducible ideal. We obtain as a corollary that R is Gorenstein if and only if every power of the maximal ideal contains an irreducible parameter ideal.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that a commutative Noetherian local ring (R, m) is Gorenstein if and only if R is Cohen-Macaulay and some ideal generated by a system of parameters (called a *parameter ideal*) is irreducible. Perhaps less widely known is a result of Northcott and Rees which states that if every parameter ideal is irreducible then R is Cohen-Macaulay [NR, Theorem 1]. Hence, R is Gorenstein if and only if every parameter ideal is irreducible. There are, however, examples of non-Gorenstein rings possessing irreducible parameter ideals: $(y)R$ is irreducible in the local ring $R = \mathbb{Q}[[x, y]]/(x^2, xy)$, for example. In a discussion of the Northcott-Rees result between the second author and William Heinzer, the following question arose: *If R contains a system of parameters $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d$ such that for every positive integer n, the ideal $(x_1^n, x_2^n, \ldots, x_d^n)$ is irreducible, is R necessarily Gorenstein?*

A concept related to this question was studied by Hochster: R is called *approximately Gorenstein* if every power of m contains an irreducible m-primary ideal. While approximately Gorenstein rings must have positive depth, they need not be Cohen-Macaulay. In fact, every complete Noetherian domain is approximately Gorenstein [Ho, Theorem 1.6]. However, our principal result (Theorem 2.8) shows that if a high enough power of m contains an irreducible *parameter* ideal then the ring is Cohen-Macaulay (and hence Gorenstein):

Theorem: *Let (R, m) be a Noetherian local ring. Then there exists an integer ℓ such that R is Gorenstein if and only if some parameter ideal contained in m^ℓ is irreducible.*

As a consequence, a local ring R is Gorenstein if and only if every power of the maximal ideal contains an irreducible parameter ideal, answering the question posed above. We show that the integer ℓ identified in this theorem may be taken to be the least integer $\delta = \delta(R)$ such that the canonical map

$$\operatorname{Ext}^d_R(R/m^\delta, R) \to \varinjlim \operatorname{Ext}^d_R(R/m^n, R) \cong H^d_m(R)$$

is surjective after applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/m, -)$, where $d = \dim R$.

Date: August 22, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D45; Secondary 13H10.

Key words and phrases. Gorenstein, system of parameters, irreducible ideal.

The second author was supported for eight weeks during the summer of 2006 through the University of Nebraska-Lincoln's *Mentoring through Critical Transition Points* grant (DMS-0354281) from the National Science Foundation.

We note that Theorem 2.8 is known in some special cases. Recent work by Goto-Sakurai [GSa1, GSa2], Liu-Rogers [LR], and Rogers [R] has shown that some rings having finite local cohomologies have eventual constant index of reducibility of parameter ideals, and these results may be used to prove our Main Theorem under additional hypotheses. (The index of reducibility of an ideal I is the number of irreducible ideals appearing in any irredundant expression of I as an intersection of irreducible ideals; in the case that R/I has finite length, the index of reducibility of I is the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/m, R/I)$ as an R/m-vector space, where m denotes the maximal ideal of R.) To be precise, suppose R has finite local cohomologies (that is, the local cohomology modules $\mathrm{H}^i_m(R)$ have finite length when $i \neq d$) and assume that one of the following conditions holds: Either R is quasi-Buchsbaum (that is, $m\,\mathrm{H}^i_m(R) = 0$ for $i \neq d$), or there is some integer t with $0 < t < d$ such that $\mathrm{H}^i_m(R) = 0$ for all i with $i \neq 0, t, d$. Then there is an integer ℓ such that the index of reducibility of every parameter ideal contained in m^ℓ is equal to $\sum_{i=0}^{d} \binom{d}{i} \dim_{R/m} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/m, \mathrm{H}^i_m(R))$. (This expression for the eventual constant index of reducibility first appeared in [GSu].) Thus, if we further assume that every power of the maximal ideal contains an irreducible parameter ideal, then this eventual constant index of reducibility must be 1: i.e., $\sum_{i=0}^{d} \binom{d}{i} \dim_{R/m} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/m, \mathrm{H}^i_m(R)) = 1$. Since $\mathrm{H}^d_m(R) \neq 0$, we must have $\mathrm{H}^i_m(R) = 0$ for $i < d$. Thus, R is Cohen-Macaulay and hence Gorenstein.

2. Main Results

As general references for terminology and well-known results, we refer the reader to [Mat] or [BH]. Throughout, R denotes a Noetherian ring. In case R is local with maximal ideal m and M is an R-module, the *socle* of M is defined to be $(0 :_M m) = \{x \in M \mid mx = 0\}$. The socle of M is denoted by $\operatorname{Soc}_R M$, or simply $\operatorname{Soc} M$ if there is no confusion about the ring. It is clear that $\operatorname{Soc}(-)$ is a left exact covariant functor in a natural way. We often will identify this functor with the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/m, -)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in R$ and let M be an R-module. Define

$$\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}^{\lim}_M := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \left(\left(x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_r^{n+1}\right) M :_M x_1^n \cdots x_r^n \right).$$

If $M = R$ we write $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}^{\lim}$ for $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}^{\lim}_R$.

We make the following remarks concerning this operation:

Remark 2.2. Let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ be an ideal of R and let M be an R-module.

(a) The set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}^{\lim}_M$ is a submodule of M containing IM.

(b) If $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ is a regular sequence on M then $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}^{\lim}_M = IM$. (cf. [Mat, Theorem 16.2]).

(c) Consider the direct system $\{M/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_r^n)M\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by the maps

$$M/(x_1^s, \ldots, x_r^s)M \xrightarrow{(x_1 \cdots x_r)^{t-s}} M/(x_1^t, \ldots, x_r^t)M$$

for $1 \leq s \leq t$. Then the kernel of the canonical map

$$\phi_t : M/(x_1^t, \ldots, x_r^t)M \to \varinjlim M/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_r^n)M \cong \mathrm{H}^r_I(M)$$

is $\{x_1^t, \ldots, x_r^t\}^{\lim}_M/(x_1^t, \ldots, x_r^t)M$. Hence by (b), if $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ is a regular sequence on M then ϕ_t is injective for every t.

(d) If R is local ring of prime characteristic and $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_r$ are two minimal generating sets for I then $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}^{\lim} = \{y_1, \ldots, y_r\}^{\lim}$ [Hu, Remark 5.6]. In this context, $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}^{\lim}$ is called the *limit closure* of I and is denoted by $I^{\lim}$.

(e) If R is a local equidimensional ring of prime characteristic which is the homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay ring and $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ are parameters (i.e., $\operatorname{ht}(x_1, \ldots, x_r) = r$), then $(x_1, \ldots, x_r)^{\lim} \subseteq I^*$, where I^* denotes the tight closure of I [Hu, Theorem 2.3(b)].

The following proposition is known in a more general setting [St, Theorem 5.2.3], but we include a brief proof for the reader's convenience.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ be elements in the Jacobson radical of R and let M be a finitely generated R-module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}_M^{\lim} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)M$.
(b) $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ *is a regular sequence on* M.

Proof. By Remark 2.2(b), (b) implies (a). We prove that (a) implies (b) by induction on r. In the case $r = 1$, let $x = x_1$. Suppose $\{x\}_M^{\lim} = (x)M$ and $x\alpha = 0$ for some $\alpha \in M$. We claim that $\alpha \in (x^k)M$ for all $k \geq 0$. This is clearly true for $k = 0$, so suppose $\alpha = x^k\beta$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $\beta \in M$. Then $x^{k+1}\beta = 0$, and thus $\beta \in \{x\}_M^{\lim} = (x)M$. Hence, $\alpha \in \left(x^{k+1}\right)M$. As x is in the Jacobson radical and M is finitely generated, $\cap_k (x^k)M = 0$ by Krull's Intersection Theorem. Hence, $\alpha = 0$ and x is a non-zero-divisor on M.

Suppose now that $r > 1$. To complete the proof, we will show the following:

(1) $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}\}_M^{\lim} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M$.
(2) x_r is a non-zero-divisor on $M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M$.

Item (1) will allow us to use the inductive hypothesis to conclude that $x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ is a regular sequence on M.

To prove (1), let $\alpha \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}\}_M^{\lim}$. We claim that for all $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M + (x_r^k)M$. Again by Krull's Intersection Theorem, this will imply that $\alpha \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M$. The case $k = 0$ is clear, so suppose $\alpha = \omega + x_r^k\beta$ where $\omega \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M$ and $\beta \in M$. Thus, $x_r^k\beta \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}\}_M^{\lim}$. Hence, there exists $t \geq 0$ such that

$$(x_1 \cdots x_{r-1})^t x_r^k \beta \in \left(x_1^{t+1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{t+1}\right) M.$$

Multiplying by $(x_1 \cdots x_{r-1})^k x_r^t$, we obtain

$$(x_1 \cdots x_r)^{t+k}\beta \in \left(x_1^{t+k+1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{t+k+1}\right) M \subseteq \left(x_1^{t+k+1}, \ldots, x_r^{t+k+1}\right) M.$$

Hence, $\beta \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}_M^{\lim} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)M$. Thus, $\alpha \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M + (x_r^{k+1})M$.

The proof of (2) is similar: Suppose $x_r\alpha \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M$ for some $\alpha \in M$. We claim that $\alpha \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M + (x_r^k)M$ for all $k \geq 0$. Suppose $\alpha = \omega + x_r^k\beta$ where $\omega \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M$ and $\beta \in M$. Then $x_r\alpha = x_r\omega + x_r^{k+1}\beta$. Hence, $x_r^{k+1}\beta \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M$. Multiplying by $(x_1 \cdots x_{r-1})^{k+1}$, we obtain that

$$(x_1 \cdots x_r)^{k+1}\beta \in (x_1^{k+2}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{k+2})M \subseteq (x_1^{k+2}, \ldots, x_r^{k+2})M.$$

Hence, $\beta \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}_M^{\lim} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)M$ and $\alpha \in (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})\,M + \left(x_r^{k+1}\right) M$. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ elements of the Jacobson radical of R and let M be a finitely generated R-module. For each $t \geq 1$ let ϕ_t be the canonical map $M/(x_1^t, \ldots, x_r^t)M \to \mathrm{H}^r_{(x_1,\ldots,x_r)}(M)$ as in part (c) of Remark 2.2. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ *is a regular sequence on* M
(b) ϕ_t *is injective for some* $t \geq 1$.
(c) ϕ_t *is injective for all* $t \geq 1$.

In the sequel we adopt the following notation: For a sequence of elements $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\mathbf{x}^t$ denote the sequence $x_1^t, \dots, x_r^t$. For $x \in R$ we let $K(x)$ denote the Koszul chain complex $0 \to R \xrightarrow{x} R \to 0$, where the first R is in homological degree 1. For the sequence $\mathbf{x}$ the Koszul chain complex $K(\mathbf{x})$ is defined to be the chain complex $K(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes K(x_r)$. For $1 \leq s \leq t$ there exists a chain maps $\phi_s^t \colon K(\mathbf{x}^t) \to K(\mathbf{x}^s)$ given by $\phi_s^t = \phi_s^t(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_s^t(x_r)$, where $\phi_s^t(x)$ is the chain map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K(x^t): \arrow[d, "\phi_s^t(x)"] & 0 \arrow[r] & R \arrow[r, "x^t"] \arrow[d, "x^{t-s}"] & R \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & 0 \\
K(x^s): & 0 \arrow[r] & R \arrow[r, "x^s"] & R \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

For an R-module M, the ith Koszul cohomology of M with respect to $\mathbf{x}$, denoted $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}; M)$, is the ith cohomology of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(K(\mathbf{x}), M)$. The maps ϕ_s^t above induce chain maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(K(\mathbf{x}^s), M) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(K(\mathbf{x}^t), M)$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq t$. By [Gr, Theorem 2.8], we have $\varinjlim \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^n; M) \cong \mathrm{H}^i_{(\mathbf{x})}(M)$.

We make the following elementary observations concerning direct limits:

Remark 2.5. Let $\{M_n, \lambda_p^n\}$ be a direct system of R-modules over a directed index set and let $\phi_t : M_t \to \varinjlim M_n$ be the canonical maps given by the definition of the direct limit.

(a) If $\varinjlim M_n$ is finitely generated then ϕ_t is surjective for all sufficiently large t.
(b) If A is a finitely presented R-module then $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, \varinjlim M_n) \cong \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, M_n)$.

Proof. Part (a) is an easy consequence of [Rot, Theorem 2.17]. For part (b), see Exercise 26, Chapter III of [L]. □

Definition 2.6. Let (R, m) be a local ring, let M be a finitely generated R-module, and let $i \geq 0$. By applying $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(-, M)$ to the system of surjections

$$\cdots \to R/m^3 \to R/m^2 \to R/m$$

we obtain a direct system whose limit is $\varinjlim \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/m^n, M) \cong \mathrm{H}^i_m(M)$. By Remark 2.5(b),

$$\varinjlim \mathrm{Soc}\, \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/m^n, M) \cong \mathrm{Soc} \varinjlim \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/m^n, M) \cong \mathrm{Soc}\, \mathrm{H}^i_m(M).$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^i_m(M)$ is Artinian, $\mathrm{Soc}\, \mathrm{H}^i_m(M)$ is finitely generated. Hence, by Remark 2.5(a) there exists a smallest nonnegative integer $\ell_i(M)$ such that the map $\mathrm{Soc}\, \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/m^t, M) \to \mathrm{Soc}\, \mathrm{H}^i_m(M)$ is surjective for all $t \geq \ell_i(M)$.

The following proposition is essentially [GSa1, Lemma 3.12]. A complete proof is given in Section 3.

Proposition 2.7. [GSa1, Lemma 3.12] *Let* (R, m) *be a Noetherian local ring and let* M *be a finitely generated* R*-module. For* $i \geq 0$ *and all* m*-primary ideals* $q = (x_1, \dots, x_r) = (\mathbf{x})$ *contained in* $m^{\ell_i(M)}$ *the map*

$$\mathrm{Soc}\, \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}; M) \to \mathrm{Soc}\, \mathrm{H}^i_m(M)$$

induced by the canonical map $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x};M) \to \varinjlim \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^n;M)$ *is surjective.*

We now proceed with the proof of our main result:

Theorem 2.8. *Let* (R,m) *be a Noetherian local ring of dimension* d *and let* $\ell = \ell_d(R)$. *Then* R *is Gorenstein if and only if some parameter ideal contained in* m^ℓ *is irreducible.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if there exists a system of parameters $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_d$ contained in m^ℓ which generates an irreducible ideal then R is Cohen-Macaulay (and hence Gorenstein). Let $\phi = \phi_1$ denote the canonical homomorphism from $H^d(\mathbf{x};R) \cong R/(\mathbf{x})$ to $\varinjlim H^d(\mathbf{x}^n;R) \cong \mathrm{H}^d_m(R)$. By Remark 2.2(c) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\{\mathbf{x}\}^{\lim}}{(\mathbf{x})} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{(\mathbf{x})} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathrm{H}^d_m(R).$$

Applying the socle functor and using Proposition 2.7 we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Soc} \frac{\{\mathbf{x}\}^{\lim}}{(\mathbf{x})} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Soc} \frac{R}{(\mathbf{x})} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Soc} \mathrm{H}^d_m(R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^d_m(R)$ is a nonzero Artinian module, it has a nonzero socle. Since $(\mathbf{x})$ is irreducible, $R/(\mathbf{x})$ has a one-dimensional socle. Hence, $\operatorname{Soc}(\{\mathbf{x}\}^{\lim}/(\mathbf{x})) = 0$, which implies $\{\mathbf{x}\}^{\lim} = (\mathbf{x})$. By Proposition 2.3, we see that $\mathbf{x}$ is a regular and hence R is Cohen-Macaulay. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let* (R,m) *be a Noetherian local ring. Then* R *is Gorenstein if and only if every power of the maximal ideal contains an irreducible parameter ideal.*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 2.8. □

3. A Proof of Proposition 2.7

The proof of this proposition as given in [GSa1], while illuminating, is quite terse. Since this result is crucial to our paper, and indeed crucial for all recent research on the index of reducibility of parameter ideals, we give a more detailed proof in this section. Throughout, R denotes a Noetherian ring. We begin with a lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_r$ *be a sequence of elements from* R *and let* $I = (\mathbf{x})R$. *Then there exist a family of complexes* $\{F(t)\}_{t \geq 1}$ *and chain maps* $\alpha(t)\colon K(\mathbf{x}^t) \to F(t)$ *and* $\beta(t+1)\colon F(t+1) \to F(t)$ *such that for each* $t \geq 1$

1. $F(t)$ *is a free resolution of* R/I^t *and each* $F(t)_i$ *is finitely generated;*
2. $F(t)_0 = R$*;*
3. $\alpha(t)_0$ *and* $\beta(t+1)_0$ *are the identity maps;*
4. *the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} K(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) \arrow[r, "\phi(t+1)"] \arrow[d, "\alpha(t+1)"] & K(\mathbf{x}^t) \arrow[d, "\alpha(t)"] \\ F(t+1) \arrow[r, "\beta(t+1)"] & F(t) \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where $\phi(t+1)$ *is the chain map* ϕ_t^{t+1} *defined in Section 2.*

Proof. We use induction on t. Choose $F(1)$ to be any minimal free resolution of R/I and $\alpha(1)\colon K(\mathbf{x}) \to F(1)$ any lifting of $\mathrm{id}_{R/I}\colon H_0(\mathbf{x}) \to H_0(F(1))$. Suppose $t \geq 1$ and for all $1 \leq k \leq t$ there exists resolutions $F(k)$ and chain maps $\alpha(k)$ and $\beta(k)$ which have the desired properties. We will construct $F(t+1)$, $\alpha(t+1)$ and $\beta(t+1)$. First, we simplify notation: Let $G := F(t)$, $C := K(\mathbf{x}^{t+1})$, and $\gamma = \alpha(t)\phi(t+1)$. We need to construct a resolution $F = F(t+1)$ of R/I^t and chain maps $\alpha = \alpha(t+1)\colon C \to F$ and $\beta = \beta(t+1)\colon F \to G$ such that $\gamma = \alpha\beta$. The proof of this is a variation on the Horseshoe Lemma [Rot, Lemma 6.20]. Let $F_0 = R$ and $\beta_0 = \alpha_0 = \mathrm{id}_R$. Suppose for some $k \geq 0$ there exists a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{k+1} \arrow[r, "\partial_{k+1}"] & C_k \arrow[r, "\partial_k"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_k"] & C_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{k-1}"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_1"] & C_0 \arrow[r, "\partial_0"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_0"'] & R/(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{-1}"'] & 0 \\
 & F_k \arrow[r, "\partial'_k"] \arrow[d, "\beta_k"] & F_{k-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta_{k-1}"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\partial'_1"] & F_0 \arrow[r, "\partial'_0"] \arrow[d, "\beta_0"'] & R/I^{t+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta_{-1}"'] & 0 \\
G_{k+1} \arrow[r, "\partial''_{k+1}"] & G_k \arrow[r, "\partial''_k"] & G_{k-1} \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\partial''_1"] & G_0 \arrow[r, "\partial''_0"] & R/I^t \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the middle row is exact and F_i is a finitely generated free module and $\gamma_i = \alpha_i\beta_i$ for all $i \leq k$. (In the diagram, α_{-1} and β_{-1} denote the natural surjections.) Let $u_1, \ldots, u_s \in F_k$ be generators for $\ker \partial'_k$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_z \in G_{k+1}$ be generators for $\ker \partial''_{k+1}$. Let F_{k+1} be a free R-module of rank $s+z$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_s, b_1, \ldots, b_z$ a basis for F_{k+1}. Define $\partial'_{k+1}\colon F_{k+1} \to F_k$ by $\partial'_{k+1}(a_i) = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\partial'_{k+1}(b_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq z$. Clearly, $\operatorname{im} \partial'_{k+1} = \ker \partial'_k$. Choose $c_1, \ldots, c_s \in G_{k+1}$ such that $\partial''_{k+1}(c_i) = \beta_k(u_i) = \beta_k(\partial'_{k+1}(a_i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Define $\beta_{k+1}\colon F_{k+1} \to G_{k+1}$ by $\beta_{k+1}(a_i) = c_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\beta_{k+1}(b_i) = w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq z$. Evidently, $\partial''_{k+1}\beta_{k+1} = \beta_k\partial'_{k+1}$. Now let $e_1, \ldots, e_p$ be a basis for C_{k+1}. Choose $f_1, \ldots, f_p \in F_{k+1}$ such that $\partial'_{k+1}(f_i) = \alpha_k\partial_{k+1}(e_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial''_{k+1}\gamma_{k+1}(e_i) &= \gamma_k\partial_{k+1}(e_i) \\
&= \beta_k\alpha_k\partial_{k+1}(e_i) \\
&= \beta_k\partial'_{k+1}(f_i) \\
&= \partial''_{k+1}\beta_{k+1}(f_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\gamma_{k+1}(e_i) - \beta_{k+1}(f_i) \in \ker \partial''_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. For $1 \leq i \leq p$ let $v_i \in Rb_1 + \cdots + Rb_z$ be such that $\beta_{k+1}(v_i) = \gamma_{k+1}(e_i) - \beta_{k+1}(f_i)$. Finally, define $\alpha_{k+1}\colon C_{k+1} \to F_{k+1}$ by $\alpha_{k+1}(e_i) = f_i + v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. It is easily verified that $\partial'_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_k\partial_{k+1}$ and $\gamma_{k+1} = \beta_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1}$. □

Let N be an arbitrary R-module, let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_r$, and let $I = (\mathbf{x})$ as in Lemma 3.1. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, N)$ to the commutative diagram in part (4) of this lemma, we get for all $t \geq 1$ a commutative square of cochain complexes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_R(F(t), N) \arrow[r, "\beta(t+1,N)"] \arrow[d, "\alpha(t,N)"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(F(t+1), N) \arrow[d, "\alpha(t+1,N)"'] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_R(K(\mathbf{x}^t), N) \arrow[r, "\phi(t+1,N)"] & \mathrm{Hom}_R(K(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}), N).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Taking ith cohomologies, we have for all $t \geq 1$ the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/I^t, N) \arrow[r, "\beta^i_{t+1}(N)"] \arrow[d, "\alpha^i_t(N)"'] & \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/I^{t+1}, N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha^i_{t+1}(N)"'] & \varinjlim \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/I^n, N) \arrow[d, "\varinjlim \alpha^i_n(N)"'] \\
\mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^t; N) \arrow[r, "\phi^i_{t+1}(N)"] & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}; N) \arrow[r] & \varinjlim \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^n; N).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For all $i \geq 0$ the map $\varinjlim \alpha^i_n(N)$ of Diagram* (3.1) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. For $i = 0$, we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I^t, N) \arrow[r, "\beta^0_{t+1}(N)"] \arrow[d, "\alpha^0_t(N)"'] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I^{t+1}, N) \arrow[d, "\alpha^0_{t+1}(N)"'] \\
\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbf{x}^t; N) \arrow[r, "\phi^0_{t+1}(N)"] & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}; N).
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this case, $\alpha^0_t(N)$ is injective for all t, so $\varinjlim \alpha^0_n(N)$ is injective, and since $\{I^n\}$ and $\{(\mathbf{x}^n)\}$ are cofinal, the map $\varinjlim \alpha^0_n(N)$ is surjective.

Suppose $i \geq 1$ and $\varinjlim \alpha^j_n(M)$ is an isomorphism for all $0 \leq j \leq i-1$ and all R-modules M. Let E be the injective hull of N and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to N \to E \to C \to 0$$

where $C = E/N$. Since $F(t)$ and $K(\mathbf{x}^t)$ are complexes of free modules, we have for all $t \geq 1$ a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(F(t), N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha(t,N)"'] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(F(t), E) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha(t,E)"'] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(F(t), C) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha(t,C)"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(K(\mathbf{x}^t), N) \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(K(\mathbf{x}^t), E) \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(K(\mathbf{x}^t), C) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the rows are short exact sequences of cochain complexes. We also note that $\beta(t+1)$ and $\phi(t+1)$ induce maps from this diagram to the same diagram but with t replaced everywhere by $t+1$. (This would be represented by a 3-dimensional commutative diagram.) Applying the long exact sequence on cohomology to this diagram, we obtain for $t \geq 1$ a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_R(R/I^t, E) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha^{i-1}_t(E)"'] & \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_R(R/I^t, C) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha^{i-1}_t(C)"'] & \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/I^t, N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha^i_t(N)"'] & 0 \arrow[d, "\alpha^i_t(E)"'] \\
\mathrm{H}^{i-1}(\mathbf{x}^t; E) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^{i-1}(\mathbf{x}^t; C) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^t; N) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^t; E).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Taking direct limits of these diagrams and using that $\varinjlim \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^n; E) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ ([Gr, Proposition 2.6]), we obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varinjlim \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_R(R/I^n, E) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varinjlim \alpha^{i-1}_n(E)"'] & \varinjlim \operatorname{Ext}^{i-1}_R(R/I^n, C) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varinjlim \alpha^{i-1}_n(C)"'] & \varinjlim \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/I^n, N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varinjlim \alpha^i_n(N)"'] & 0 \\
\varinjlim \mathrm{H}^{i-1}(\mathbf{x}^n; E) \arrow[r] & \varinjlim \mathrm{H}^{i-1}(\mathbf{x}^n; C) \arrow[r] & \varinjlim \mathrm{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^n; N) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\varinjlim \alpha_n^{i-1}(E)$ and $\varinjlim \alpha_n^{i-1}(C)$ are isomorphisms (by the induction hypothesis), we conclude that $\varinjlim \alpha_n^i(N)$ is an isomorphism. □

We now proceed with:

Proof of Proposition 2.7: With the notation as in the statement of the Proposition, fix $i \geq 0$ and let $\ell = \ell_i(M)$. Let $q = (\mathbf{x})$ be a parameter ideal contained in m^ℓ. By applying the socle functor to Diagram (3.1) (with q in place of I) we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Soc}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/q, M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\operatorname{Soc}\alpha_1^i(M)"'] & \operatorname{Soc}\varinjlim \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/q^n, M) \arrow[d, "\operatorname{Soc}\varinjlim \alpha_n^i(M)"'] \\
\operatorname{Soc}\operatorname{H}^i(\mathbf{x}; M) \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Soc}\varinjlim \operatorname{H}^i(\mathbf{x}^n; M).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.2}$$

By Lemma 3.2, the right arrow is an isomorphism. Let J be an injective resolution of M. For each $t \geq 1$ the commutative square of natural surjections

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R/q^{t+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R/m^{\ell(t+1)} \arrow[d] \\
R/q^t \arrow[r] & R/m^{\ell t}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.3}$$

induces the commutative diagram of cochain complexes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/m^{\ell t}, J) \arrow[r, "\varphi_t"] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/q^t, J) \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/m^{\ell(t+1)}, J) \arrow[r, "\varphi_{t+1}"] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/q^{t+1}, J) \arrow[d] \\
\varinjlim \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/m^{\ell n}, J) \arrow[r, "\varinjlim \varphi_n"] & \varinjlim \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/q^n, J).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.4}$$

The map $\varinjlim \varphi_n$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, since the maps in Diagram (3.3) are surjections, the maps in the top square of Diagram (3.4) are injections, and thus $\varinjlim \varphi_n$ is an injection. Also, since q is m-primary, $\{q^n\}$ and $\{m^{\ell n}\}$ are cofinal. Hence, $\varinjlim \varphi_n$ is surjective.

Taking ith cohomologies, applying the socle functor, and using Remark 2.5(b), we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Soc}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/m^\ell, M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Soc}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/q, M) \arrow[d] \\
\varinjlim \operatorname{Soc}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/m^{\ell n}, M) \arrow[r] & \varinjlim \operatorname{Soc}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/q^n, M).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now, the left vertical arrow is surjective by the definition of ℓ and [Rot, Exercise 2.43]. As the bottom arrow is an isomorphism, we conclude that the right arrow is also surjective. We complete the proof of the proposition by noting that since the top and right maps in Diagram (3.2) are surjective, so is the bottom map. □

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank Craig Huneke for offering an important insight to the proof of Proposition 2.3, and also William Heinzer and Jung-Chen Liu for careful readings of this manuscript.

References

[BH] Bruns, W. and Herzog, J., *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics no. **39**, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[GSa1] Goto, S. and Sakurai, H., *The equality $I^2 = QI$ in Buchsbaum rings*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **110** (2003), 25–56.

[GSa2] Goto, S. and Sakurai, H., *Index of Reducibility of Parameter Ideals for Modules Possessing Finite Local Cohomology Modules*, Preprint.

[GSu] Goto, S. and Suzuki, N., *Index of Reducibility of Parameter Ideals in a Local Ring*, J. Alg. **87** (1984), 53–88.

[Gr] Grothendieck, A., *Local Cohomology*, notes by R. Hartshorne, Lect. Notes Math. no. 41, Springer, Berlin, 1966.

[HH] Hochster, M. and Huneke, C., *Tight closures of parameter ideals and splitting in module-finite extensions*, J. Alg. Geom. **3** (1994), 599–670.

[Ho] Hochster, M., *Cyclic purity versus purity in excellent Noetherian rings*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **231** (1977), no. 2, 463–488.

[Hu] Huneke, C., *Tight closure, parameter ideals, and geometry*, in Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra (J. Elias, J.M. Giral, R.M. Miró-Roig, and S. Zarzuela, eds), Progress in Mathematics, vol. 166, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998, 187–239.

[L] Lang, S., *Algebra*, 3rd ed. (revised), Graduate Texts in Mathematics no. 211, Springer, New York, 2002.

[LR] Liu, J. C. and Rogers, M., *The index of reducibility of parameter ideals and mostly zero finite local cohomologies*, Comm. Alg., to appear.

[Mat] Matsumura, H., *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics no. **8**, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[NR] Northcott, D. G. and Rees, D., *Principal Systems*, Quart. J. Math. **8** (1957), 119-127.

[R] Rogers, M., *The index of reducibility for parameter ideals in low dimension*, J. Alg., **278/2** (2004), 571–584.

[Rot] Rotman, J., *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1979.

[St] Strooker, J. R., *Homological questions in local algebra*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note series **145**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1990.

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588-0130, USA
E-mail address: `tmarley@math.unl.edu`
URL: `http://www.math.unl.edu/~tmarley1`

Department of Mathematics, Missouri State University, Springfield, MO 65897, USA
E-mail address: `markrogers@missouristate.edu`

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Technology, Meiji University, 214-8571, Japan
E-mail address: `ee78052@math.meiji.ac.jp`